


Esercitazioni di Microsoft Word/2


Alcune funzioni avanzate

Inserimento di immagini/1

- E' possibile inserire nel testo ClipArt, WordArt o immagini personalizzate.




Inserimento di immagini/2




Inserimento di immagini/3

- Selezionando **Formato**→**Immagine...** è possibile definire la disposizione del testo rispetto all'immagine...



Adatta la posizione dell'immagine con il testo



Ancora la posizione dell'immagine alla pagina

Consenti la disposizione del testo

Inserimento di immagini/4

- ... La cartella **Disposizione Testo** definisce il modo con cui il testo è disposto intorno all'immagine

The IEEE 802.11 standard [1] defines the Wired Equivalent Privacy, or WEP, as an encryption of 802.11 data frames. The goal of WEP is to provide data privacy to the level of a wired network.

The 802.11 design community generally considers that the WEP encryption fails to meet its design goal, but widely attributes this failure to WEP's use of 40-bit RC4 (see [2] or [3]) for a description of RC4) as its encryption mechanism. Even at this time, it is still repeatedly suggested, asserted, and assumed that WEP "could meet its design goal by migrating from 40-bit to 104- or 128-bit RC4 keys instead."

This report makes three design choices to share privacy with the WEP key size. The submission reports studies against WEP that succeed either in particular, as currently is a fundamentally neutral encryption method success 100% or any other size whatsoever, other than right replace RC4 usage or its substitution over. This encryption from providing a key size.

The deficiency of the WEP attempt to adapt RC4 to an actual. The submission accordingly cryptographic primitive success characteristics needed by any effectively provide data privacy as a recommended candidate replacement encryption.




The IEEE 802.11 standard [1] defines the Wired Equivalent Privacy, or WEP, as an encryption of 802.11 data frames. The goal of WEP is to provide data privacy to the level of a wired network.

The 802.11 design community generally considers that the WEP encryption fails to meet its design goal, but widely attributes this failure to WEP's use of 40-bit RC4 (see [2] or [3]) for a description of RC4) as its encryption mechanism. Even at this time, it is still repeatedly suggested, asserted, and assumed that WEP "could meet its design goal by migrating from 40-bit to 104- or 128-bit RC4 keys instead."

This report makes three design choices to share privacy with the WEP key size. The submission reports studies against WEP that succeed either in particular, as currently is a fundamentally neutral encryption method success 100% or any other size whatsoever, and the same remains true when any other means right replace RC4. The weakness stems from WEP's inability to substitute over.

The vulnerability prevents the WEP encryption from providing a meaningful notion of privacy at any key size.

The deficiency of the WEP encryption design arises from attempts to adapt RC4 to an environment for which it is poorly suited. The submission accordingly agrees to replace RC4 by different cryptographic primitive success work performed. It identifies the characteristics needed by any encryption algorithm that can effectively provide data privacy in a wireless environment, and recommends candidate replacement algorithms and a replacement encryption.



The IEEE 802.11 standard [1] defines the Wired Equivalent Privacy, or WEP, as an encryption of 802.11 data frames. The goal of WEP is to provide data privacy to the level of a wired network.

The 802.11 design community generally considers that the WEP encryption fails to meet its design goal, but widely attributes this failure to WEP's use of 40-bit RC4 (see [2] or [3]) for a description of RC4) as its encryption mechanism. Even at this time, it is still repeatedly suggested, asserted, and assumed that WEP "could meet its design goal by migrating from 40-bit to 104- or 128-bit RC4 keys instead."

This report makes three design choices to share privacy with the WEP key size. The submission reports studies against WEP that succeed regardless of the key size or the cipher. In particular, as currently defined, WEP's usage of encryption is a fundamentally neutral construction, the WEP encryption remains success whether its key length is 128 or 100 or any other size whatsoever, and the same remains true when any other means right replace RC4. The weakness stems from WEP's usage of its substitution over. This vulnerability prevents the WEP encryption from providing a meaningful notion of privacy at any key size.

The deficiency of the WEP encryption design arises from attempts to adapt RC4 to an environment for which it is poorly suited. The submission accordingly agrees to replace RC4 by different cryptographic primitive success work performed. It identifies the characteristics needed by any encryption algorithm that can effectively provide data privacy in a wireless environment, and recommends candidate replacement algorithms and a replacement encryption.



The IEEE 802.11 standard [1] defines the Wired Equivalent Privacy, or WEP, as an encryption of 802.11 data frames. The goal of WEP is to provide data privacy to the level of a wired network.

The 802.11 design community generally considers that the WEP encryption fails to meet its design goal, but widely attributes this failure to WEP's use of 40-bit RC4 (see [2] or [3]) for a description of RC4) as its encryption mechanism. Even at this time, it is still repeatedly suggested, asserted, and assumed that WEP "could meet its design goal by migrating from 40-bit to 104- or 128-bit RC4 keys instead."


This report makes three design choices to share privacy with the WEP key size. The submission reports studies against WEP that succeed regardless of the key size or the cipher. In particular, as currently defined, WEP's usage of encryption is a fundamentally neutral construction, the WEP encryption remains success whether its key length is 128 or 100 or any other size whatsoever, and the same remains true when any other means right replace RC4. The weakness stems from WEP's usage of its substitution over. This vulnerability prevents the WEP encryption from providing a meaningful notion of privacy at any key size.

The deficiency of the WEP encryption design arises from attempts to adapt RC4 to an environment for which it is poorly suited. The submission accordingly agrees to replace RC4 by different cryptographic primitive success work performed. It identifies the characteristics needed by any encryption algorithm that can effectively provide data privacy in a wireless environment, and recommends candidate replacement algorithms and a replacement encryption.






Inserimento di immagini/5

- Tenendo premuto il tasto sinistro del mouse è possibile spostare/ridimensionare le immagini inserite.



Inserimento di tabelle/1



Inserimento di tabelle/2

The image shows a Microsoft Word window titled "Microsoft Word - Esempio2.doc". The window displays a table with 5 columns and 3 rows. The table content is as follows:

Questa è una cella				
Questa cella è orientata in verticale	Questa cella è orientata in verticale	Questa cella è allineata in alto	Questa cella è allineata al centro	Questa cella è allineata in basso

Four yellow callout boxes with red arrows point to specific features in the table:

- Larghezza colonne**: Points to the horizontal dimension of the table columns.
- Allineamento in verticale**: Points to the text alignment within the cells of the second row.
- Altezza righe**: Points to the vertical dimension of the table rows.
- Orientamento in verticale**: Points to the vertical orientation of the text in the first two cells of the second row.

A context menu is open in the bottom right corner, showing options such as "Taglia", "Copia", "Incolla", "Inserisci righe", "Elimina celle...", "Dividi celle...", "Disegna tabella", "Bordi e sfondo...", "Formattazione automatica tabella...", "Orientamento testo...", "Allineamento", and "Elenchi puntati e numerati...". A red arrow points from the "Orientamento in verticale" callout box to the "Orientamento testo..." option in the menu.

Inserimento di tabelle/3

- La funzionalità **Unisci/Dividi celle** permette di definire celle su più colonne/righe.




The diagram illustrates the 'Unisci/Dividi celle' functionality in a spreadsheet application. It shows a table with a merged cell and two split cells, with arrows pointing to the 'Unisci celle' and 'Dividi celle...' menu options.

	Questa cella è ottenuta unendo celle contigue sulla stessa riga		
Questa cella è ottenuta unendo celle contigue sulla stessa riga		Queste celle sono ottenute dividendo una singola cella	Queste celle sono ottenute dividendo una singola cella

On the left, a menu is shown with the following options: Taglia, Copia, Incolla, Inserisci celle..., Elimina celle..., **Unisci celle** (highlighted), Disegna tabella, Bordi e sfondo..., Orientamento testo..., and Allineamento. On the right, another menu is shown with the following options: Taglia, Copia, Incolla, Inserisci righe, Elimina celle..., **Dividi celle...** (highlighted), Disegna tabella, Bordi e sfondo..., Formattazione automatica tabella..., Orientamento testo..., Allineamento, and Elenchi puntati e numerati... Red arrows indicate the flow from the 'Unisci celle' menu option to the merged cell in the table, and from the 'Dividi celle...' menu option to the two split cells in the table.

Inserimento di tabelle/4

- La funzionalità **Bordi e sfondo** permette di personalizzare bordi e sfondo delle celle.



Griglia di controllo

- La voce **Mostra/Nascondi Griglia** controlla la visualizzazione della griglia di controllo della tabella.

Intestazione e pié di pagina/1

- La funzionalità **Bordi e sfondo** permette di personalizzare bordi e sfondo delle celle.